

A generalization of Mortici lemma

VASILE BERINDE

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to obtain a generalization of a very simple, elegant but powerful convergence lemma introduced by Mortici [Mortici, C., *Best estimates of the generalized Stirling formula*, Appl. Math. Comp., **215** (2010), no. 11, 4044–4048; Mortici, C., *Product approximations via asymptotic integration*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **117** (2010), no. 5, 434–441; Mortici, C., *An ultimate extremely accurate formula for approximation of the factorial function*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **93** (2009), no. 1, 37–45; Mortici, C., *Complete monotonic functions associated with gamma function and applications*, Carpathian J. Math., **25** (2009), no. 2, 186–191] and exploited by him and other authors in an impressive number of recent and very recent papers devoted to constructing asymptotic expansions, accelerating famous sequences in mathematics, developing approximation formulas for factorials that improve various classical results etc.

We illustrate the new result by some important particular cases and also indicate a way for using it in similar contexts.

1. INTRODUCTION

C. Mortici introduced the following simple, elegant but powerful lemma which was first used in [11], [12], [7], [8] to accelerate some sequences and to construct asymptotic expansions, and afterwards was intensively used in [7]-[51] and many other related papers. We state here this lemma as given and proved by its author in [51].

Lemma 1.1. (Mortici, [51])

If $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent to 0 and there exists the limit

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^k (\omega_n - \omega_{n+1}) = l \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $k > 1$, then there exists the limit

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{k-1} \omega_n = \frac{l}{k-1}.$$

Remark 1.1. Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences of real numbers converging to a and b , respectively, for which there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n - a}{b_n - b} = L \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $L \neq 0$, then we say (see for example [1] and [2]) that $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ have the same rate (order) of convergence. If $L = 0$, then we say that $\{a_n\}$ converges faster to a than $\{b_n\}$ converges to b .

Received: 30.01.2012. In revised form: 22.05.2012. Accepted: 31.07.2012

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A05, 40A25, 40G99.

Key words and phrases. convergent sequence, convergent series, order of convergence, Mortici inequality, Gamma function, Digamma function.

In view of the above concept, the interpretation of Lemma 1.1 is the following one: if the sequences $\{\omega_n - \omega_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{\frac{1}{n^k}\right\}$, with $k > 1$, have the same order of convergence, then the same happens with the sequences $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{\frac{1}{n^{k-1}}\right\}$.

Lemma 1.1 is actually a consequence of the classical Cesaro-Stolz lemma for the case $(0/0)$ (see [5]), an auxiliary result that has been used by Mortici in [29] directly and not in the form of the derived Lemma 1.1:

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences of real numbers with the following properties*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n-1} = 0$;
- (ii) $\{b_n\}$ is strictly increasing;
- (iii) there exists the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n - a_{n-1}}{b_n - b_{n-1}} = l \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then there exists the limit of the sequence $\frac{a_n}{b_n}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{b_n} = l.$$

In applications, however, Lemma 1.1 is more powerful than Lemma 1.2, as shown by the following selected examples which are taken from papers that use Lemma 1.1.

- In the paper [10] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n = \ln \Gamma(n) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + b - \ln(n+b) + n + \frac{1}{2}\Psi(n+c),$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function, $\Psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ is the digamma function and a, b, c are some parameters.

- In [25] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n = \ln \Gamma(n+1) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + p - n \ln(n+p) + n - \frac{1}{6} \ln(n^3 + an^2 + bn + c), \quad p \in [0, 1],$$

where a, b, c are parameters depending on p ;

- In [14] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined either by the equality

$$n! = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{e}} \left(\frac{n+2}{e}\right)^{n+\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n+a} \cdot e^{\omega_n},$$

or by

$$n! = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{e}} \left(\frac{n+3}{e}\right)^{n+\frac{5}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{n^2+bn+c} \cdot e^{\omega_n},$$

where a, b, c are some parameters;

- In [11] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ defined by the equality

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{b-a} \left(\frac{n+a}{e}\right)^{n+b} \cdot (n+c)^{\frac{1}{2}-b} \cdot e^{\omega_n},$$

with a, b parameters;

- In [32] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n(a, b, c) = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a+1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{a+n-2} + \frac{b}{a+n-1} - \ln \left(\frac{a+n-1}{a} + c \right),$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c > -\frac{a+1}{a}$.

- In [30] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} - \ln \frac{n^2 + an + b}{n + c},$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ parameters.

- In [30] Mortici considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} - \ln \frac{n^2 + an + b}{n + c},$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ parameters.

- In [3] Chen considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n = \Psi(n+1) - \ln \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + q \Psi''(n+p),$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function, $\Psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ is the digamma function and p, q are parameters.

- In [4] Chen considers the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ given by

$$\omega_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{16^k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 - c_0 + \frac{b}{n+c},$$

where c_0 is given and b, c are parameters.

Many other papers in the list [7]-[51] are using directly Lemma 1.1, while Lemma 1.2 was used in significantly less cases, amongst which we mention the paper [29].

2. MAIN RESULT

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ be two sequences of positive real numbers such that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges to S with the same rate as $\{b_n\}$ converges to 0.*

If $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of real numbers converging to ω such that $\{\omega_n - \omega_{n+1}\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{a_n\}$ have the same rate of convergence, then $\{\omega_n - \omega\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\{b_n\}$ have the same rate of convergence, too.

Proof. Although the proof easily follows by Cesaro-Stolz lemma in the case $(0/0)$, we give here an independent proof, as the proof of Lemma 1.2 cannot be found easily in textbooks, under this form, except for the book [5] which is very scarce, even in Russian. Let, by hypothesis, $l, L \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_n - \omega_{n+1}}{a_n} = l \in \mathbb{R}$$

and, respectively,

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i}{b_n} = L \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad l - \epsilon \leq \frac{\omega_n - \omega_{n+1}}{a_n} \leq l + \epsilon, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

If we write (2.5) in the equivalent form (note that $a_n > 0$)

$$(l - \epsilon)a_n \leq \omega_n - \omega_{n+1} \leq (l + \epsilon)a_n, \quad n \geq n_0,$$

and sum up the inequalities obtained for $n, n + 1, \dots, n + p - 1$ ($p \geq 2$), one obtains

$$(2.6) \quad (l - \epsilon) \sum_{i=n}^{n+p-1} a_i \leq \omega_n - \omega_{n+p} \leq (l + \epsilon) \sum_{i=n}^{n+p-1} a_i, \quad n \geq n_0.$$

By letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad (l - \epsilon) \left(S - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \right) \leq \omega_n - \omega \leq (l + \epsilon) \left(S - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \right), \quad n \geq n_0.$$

Now, divide (2.7) by $b_n > 0$ and use (2.4) to get, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the resulted inequality,

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_n - \omega}{b_n} = l \cdot L,$$

as required. □

Remark 2.2. In the particular case $a_n = \frac{1}{n^k}$ ($k > 1$), $b_n = na_n$ and $\omega = 0$, by Lemma 2.3 we get Lemma 1.1, i.e., the Lemma 2.1 in [32]. Essential here is the fact that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ involved in Lemma 2.3 will be in this particular case the generalized harmonic series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k}$$

which is known to converge to Riemann zeta function $\zeta(k)$, for $k > 1$, see [6], with the convergence order given by the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{k-1} \left(\zeta(k) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i^k} \right) = \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

A particular case. As shown in the first section, in the paper [10] the sequence $\{\omega_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is given by

$$\omega_n = \ln \Gamma(n) - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + b - \ln(n + b) + n + \frac{1}{2} \Psi(n + c),$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function, $\Psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ is the digamma function and a, b, c are some parameters, while the sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ are

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n^k} \text{ and } b_n = \frac{1}{n^{k-1}}, \text{ for } k = 2, 3, 4,$$

depending on the values of parameters a, b, c (there exist three distinct cases).

3. CONCLUSIONS

Lemma 1.1 is based essentially on the assumption $k > 1$. If $0 < k \leq 1$, then it cannot be applied, since in this case the generalized harmonic series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k}$$

is divergent. In such cases, we could be interested to find instead of generalized harmonic series another "comparison" series which is still convergent, even though its order of convergence is very slow.

On the contrary, we could be interested to use "comparison" sequences which converge faster than the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{n^k} \right\}$, used overall in the papers that use Lemma 1.1. For example, as it is known, see [1], the recursive sequence that calculates \sqrt{a} for $a > 0$, that is,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right), \quad n \geq 0, \quad x_0 > 0,$$

converges to \sqrt{a} faster than any of the sequences $\left\{ \frac{1}{n^k} \right\}, k = 1, 2, \dots$

These contexts would require the use of our Lemma 2.3 rather than of Lemma 1.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research was supported by the Grant PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0087 of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berinde, V., *Despre ordinul de convergență al șirurilor de numere reale*, *Gazeta Matematică*, **103** (1998), 4, 146–153
- [2] Berinde, V., *Iterative approximation of fixed points*. Second edition, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1912, Springer, Berlin, 2007
- [3] Chen, C.P., *Some properties of functions related to the gamma, psi and tetragamma functions*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (2011), 3389–3395
- [4] Chen, C.P., *Approximation formulas for Landaus constants*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **387** (2012), 916–919
- [5] Fikhtengolts, G., *Course of Differential and Integral Calculus*, vol. 1, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962
- [6] Ivič, A., *The Riemann Zeta-Function: Theory and Applications*, Courier Dover Publications, 2003
- [7] Mortici, C., *An ultimate extremely accurate formula for approximation of the factorial function*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, **93** (2009), no. 1, 37–45
- [8] Mortici, C., *Complete monotonic functions associated with gamma function and applications*, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **25** (2009), no. 2, 186–191
- [9] Mortici, C., *On some accurate estimates of pi*, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **1** (2009), no. 2, 1–18
- [10] Mortici, C., *New approximations of the gamma function in terms of the digamma function*, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **23** (2010), no. 1, 97–100
- [11] Mortici, C., *Best estimates of the generalized Stirling formula*, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, **215** (2010), no. 11, 4044–4048
- [12] Mortici, C., *Product approximations via asymptotic integration*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **117** (2010), no. 5, 434–441
- [13] Mortici, C., *Optimizing the rate of convergence in some new classes of sequences convergent to Euler's constant*, *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, **8** (2010), no. 1, 99–107
- [14] Mortici, C., *New improvements of the Stirling formula*, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, **217** (2010), no. 2, 699–704
- [15] Mortici, C., *Sharp inequalities related to Gosper's formula*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **348** (2010), no. 3-4, 137–140
- [16] Mortici, C., *The proof of Muqattash-Yahdi conjecture*, *Math. Comp. Modelling*, **51** (2010), no. 9-10, 1154–1159
- [17] Mortici, C., *New approximation formulas for evaluating the ratio of gamma functions*, *Math. Comp. Modelling*, **52** (2010), no. 1-2, 425–433

- [18] Mortici, C., *A new method for establishing and proving new bounds for the Wallis ratio*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **13** (2010), no. 4, 803–815
- [19] Mortici, C., *Monotonicity properties of the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^p* , Optim. Lett., **4** (2010), no. 3, 457–464
- [20] Mortici, C., *Very accurate estimates of the polygamma functions*, Asymptotic Anal., **68** (2010), no. 3, 125–134
- [21] Mortici, C., *The asymptotic series of the generalized Stirling formula*, Comp. Math. Appl., **60** (2010), no. 3, 786–791
- [22] Mortici, C., *Sharp inequalities and complete monotonicity for the Wallis ratio*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **17** (2010), 929–936
- [23] Mortici, C., *New approximations of the gamma function in terms of the digamma function*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23** (2010), no. 1, 97–100
- [24] Mortici, C., *Sharp bounds for gamma and digamma function arising from Burnside's formula*, Rev. Anal. Numer. Th. Approx., **39** (2010), no. 1, 69–72
- [25] Mortici, C., *Ramanujan formula for the generalized Stirling approximation*, Appl. Math. Comp., **217** (2010), no. 6, 2579–2585
- [26] Mortici, C., *Turan-type inequalities for the gamma and polygamma functions*, Acta Univ. Apulensis, **23** (2010), 117–121
- [27] Mortici, C., *New sharp inequalities for approximating the factorial function and the digamma function*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **11** (2010), no. 1, 79–86
- [28] Mortici, C., *On the Stirling expansion into negative powers of a triangular number*, Math. Comm., **15** (2010), no. 2, 359–364
- [29] Mortici, C., *On some Euler-Mascheroni type sequences*, Comp. Math. Appl., **60** (2010), no. 7, 2009–2014
- [30] Mortici, C., *On new sequences converging towards the Euler-Mascheroni constant*, Comp. Math. Appl., **59** (2010), no. 8, 2610–2614
- [31] Mortici, C., *A quicker convergence toward the gamma constant with the logarithm term involving the constant e* , Carpathian J. Math., **26** (2010), no. 1, 86–91
- [32] Mortici, C., *Improved convergence towards generalized Euler-Mascheroni constant*, App. Math. Comp., **215** (2010), no. 9, 3443–3448
- [33] Mortici, C., *A sharp inequality involving the Psi function*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math., **21** (2010), 41–45
- [34] Mortici, C., *Sharp bounds of the Landau constants*, Math. Comp., **80** (2011), 1011–1018
- [35] Mortici, C., *A substantial improvement of the Stirling formula*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24** (2011), no. 8, 1351–1354.
- [36] Mortici, C., *On Gospers formula for the gama function*, J. Math. Inequal., **5** (2011), no. 4, 611–614
- [37] Mortici, C., *Some completely monotonic functions relating to the gamma function*, Int. Transf. Spec. Fct., (2011), DOI: 10.1080/10652469.2011.597751
- [38] Mortici, C., *On the gamma function approximation by Burnside*, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **11** (2011), 274–277
- [39] Mortici, C., *Gamma function estimates via completely monotonicity arguments*, Carpathian J. Math., **27** (2011), No. 1, 93–102
- [40] Mortici, C., *On Ramanujan's large argument formula for the gamma function*, Ramanujan J., **26** (2011), no. 2, 185–192
- [41] Mortici, C., *Ramanujan's estimate for the gamma function via monotonicity arguments*, Ramanujan J., **25** (2011), no. 2, 149–154
- [42] Mortici, C., *Accurate estimates of the gamma function involving the psi function*, Numer. Fct. Anal. Optimization, **32** (2011), no. 4, 469–476
- [43] Mortici, C., *The quotient of gamma functions by the psi function*, Comput. Appl. Math., **30** (2011), no.3, 627–638
- [44] Mortici, C., *New sharp bounds for gamma and digamma functions*, An. St. Univ. A. I. Cuza Iasi, Ser. Mat., **57** (2011), no. 2, ??–??
- [45] Mortici, C., *Accurate approximations of the Mathieu series*, Math. Comp. Modelling, **53** (2011), no. 5-6, 909–914
- [46] Mortici, C., *Refinements of Gurland's formula for pi*, Comp. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), no. 6, 2616–2620
- [47] Mortici, C., *Refinements of some bounds related to the constant e* , Miskolc Math. Notes, **12** (2011), no. 1, 105–111
- [48] Mortici, C., *A new refinement of the Radon inequality*, Math. Comm., **16** (2011), no. 2, 319–324
- [49] Mortici, C., *The natural approach of Wilker-Cusa-Huygens inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **14** (2011), no. 3, 535–541
- [50] Mortici, C., *The best rational remainders in the Stirling formula*, Int. Transf. Spec. Funct., **23** (2012), no. 1, 13–19
- [51] Mortici, C., *Error estimates of Ramanujan-type series*, Ramanujan J., (2012), **27** (2012), no. 2, 169–179