

## Research Article

# Fixed Points of Multivalued Nonself Almost Contractions

Maryam A. Alghamdi,<sup>1</sup> Vasile Berinde,<sup>2</sup> and Naseer Shahzad<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Sciences Faculty for Girls, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 4087, Jeddah 21491, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, North University of Baia Mare, Baia Mare, Romania

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21859, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Naseer Shahzad; nshahzad@kau.edu.sa

Received 29 March 2013; Accepted 20 May 2013

Academic Editor: Wei-Shih Du

Copyright © 2013 Maryam A. Alghamdi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We consider multivalued nonself-weak contractions on convex metric spaces and establish the existence of a fixed point of such mappings. Presented theorem generalizes results of M. Berinde and V. Berinde (2007), Assad and Kirk (1972), and many others existing in the literature.

## 1. Introduction

The study of fixed points of single-valued self-mappings or multivalued self-mappings satisfying certain contraction conditions has a great majority of results in metric fixed point theory. All these results are mainly generalizations of Banach contraction principle.

The Banach contraction principle guarantees the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of certain self-maps in complete metric spaces. This result has various applications to operator theory and variational analysis. So, it has been extended in many ways until now. One of these is related to multivalued mappings. Its starting point is due to Nadler Jr. [1].

The fixed point theory for multivalued nonself-mappings developed rapidly after the publication of Assad and Kirk's paper [2] in which they proved a non-self-multivalued version of Banach's contraction principle. Further results for multivalued non-self-mappings were proved in, for example, [3–7]. For other related results, see also [8–38].

On the other hand, Berinde [11–13] introduced a new class of self-mappings (usually called weak contractions or almost contractions) that satisfy a simple but general contraction condition that includes most of the conditions in Rhoades' classification [39]. He obtained a fixed point theorem for such mappings which generalized the results of Kannan [40], Chatterjea [41], and Zamfirescu [42]. As shown in [43], the weakly contractive metric-type fixed point result in [12] is

“almost” covered by the related altering metric one due to Khan et al. [21].

In [9], M. Berinde and V. Berinde extended Theorem 8 to the case of multivalued weak contractions.

*Definition 1.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $K$  a nonempty subset of  $X$ . A map  $T : K \rightarrow CB(X)$  is called a multivalued almost contraction if there exist a constant  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and some  $L \geq 0$  such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta \cdot d(x, y) + LD(y, Tx), \quad \forall x, y \in K. \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 2** (see [9]). *Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  a multivalued almost contraction. Then  $T$  has a fixed point.*

The aim of this paper is to prove a fixed point theorem for multivalued nonself almost contractions on convex metric spaces. This theorem extends several important results (including the above) in the fixed point theory of self-mappings to the case on nonself-mappings and generalizes several fixed point theorems for nonself-mappings.

## 2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic definitions and preliminaries that will be needed in this paper.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $CB(X)$  the set of all nonempty bounded and closed subsets of  $X$ . For  $A, B \in CB(X)$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, A) &= \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}, \\ D(A, B) &= \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\}, \\ H(A, B) &= \max\left\{\sup_{x \in A} D(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} D(y, A)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It is known that  $H$  is a metric on  $CB(X)$  and  $H$  is called the Hausdorff metric or Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric induced by  $d$ . It is also known that  $(CB(X), H)$  is a complete metric space whenever  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space.

*Definition 3.* Let  $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$  be a multivalued map. An element  $x \in X$  is said to be a fixed point of  $T$  if  $x \in Tx$ .

In this paper we assume that  $(X, d)$  is a convex metric space which is defined as follows.

*Definition 4.* A metric space  $(X, d)$  is convex if for each  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  there exists  $z \in X, x \neq z \neq y$ , such that

$$d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y). \tag{3}$$

This notion is similar to the definition of metric space of hyperbolic type. The class of metric spaces of hyperbolic type includes all normed linear spaces and all spaces with hyperbolic metric.

It is known that in a convex metric space each two points are the endpoints of at least one metric segment (see [2]).

**Proposition 5** (see [2]). *Let  $K$  be a closed subset of a complete and convex metric space  $X$ . If  $x \in K$  and  $y \notin K$ , then there exists a point  $z \in \partial K$  (the boundary of  $K$ ) such that*

$$d(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y). \tag{4}$$

The following lemma will be required in the sequel.

**Lemma 6** (see [1, 2]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $A, B \in CB(X)$ . If  $x \in A$ , then, for each positive number  $\alpha$ , there exists  $y \in B$  such that*

$$d(x, y) \leq H(A, B) + \alpha. \tag{5}$$

The definition of an almost contraction given by Berinde [12] is as follows.

*Definition 7.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called almost contraction if there exist a constant  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and some  $L \geq 0$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta \cdot d(x, y) + Ld(y, Tx), \quad \forall x, y \in X. \tag{6}$$

**Theorem 8** (see [12]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  an almost contraction. Then*

- (1)  $\text{Fix}(T) = \{x \in X : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (2) for any  $x_0 \in X$ , the Picard iteration  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  converges to some  $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ ;

(3) the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+i-1}, x^*) &\leq \frac{\delta^i}{1-\delta} d(x_n, x_{n-1}), \\ n &= 1, 2, \dots; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let us recall (see [30]) that a mapping  $T$  possessing properties (1) and (2) is called a *weakly Picard operator*.

In fact, Theorem 8 generalizes some important fixed point theorems in the literature such as Banach contraction principle, Kannan fixed point theorem [40], Chatterjea fixed point theorem [41], and Zamfirescu fixed point theorem [42].

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete convex metric space and  $K$  a nonempty closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $T : K \rightarrow CB(X)$  is a multivalued almost contraction, that is,*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta \cdot d(x, y) + LD(y, Tx), \quad \forall x, y \in K, \tag{8}$$

with  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and some  $L \geq 0$  such that  $\delta(1 + L) < 1$ . If  $T$  satisfies Rothe's type condition, that is,  $x \in \partial K \Rightarrow Tx \subset K$ , then there exists  $z \in K$  such that  $z \in Tz$ ; that is,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .

*Proof.* We construct two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in the following way. Let  $x_0 \in K$  and  $y_1 \in Tx_0$ . If  $y_1 \in K$ , let  $x_1 = y_1$ . If  $y_1 \notin K$ , then there exists  $x_1 \in \partial K$  such that

$$d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, y_1) = d(x_0, y_1). \tag{9}$$

Thus  $x_1 \in K$ , and, by Lemma 6 and  $\alpha = \delta$ , we can choose  $y_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$d(y_1, y_2) \leq H(Tx_0, Tx_1) + \delta. \tag{10}$$

If  $y_2 \in K$ , let  $x_2 = y_2$ . If  $y_2 \notin K$ , then there exists  $x_2 \in \partial K$  such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, y_2) = d(x_1, y_2). \tag{11}$$

Thus  $x_2 \in K$ , and, by Lemma 6 and  $\alpha = \delta^2$ , we can choose  $y_3 \in Tx_2$  such that

$$d(y_2, y_3) \leq H(Tx_1, Tx_2) + \delta^2. \tag{12}$$

Continuing the arguments we construct two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that

- (i)  $y_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ ;
- (ii)  $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \delta^n$ ,  
where
- (iii)  $y_n \in K \Rightarrow y_n = x_n$ ;
- (iv)  $y_n \neq x_n$  whenever  $y_n \notin K$ , and then  $x_n \in \partial K$  is such that

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n) = d(x_{n-1}, y_n). \tag{13}$$

Now we claim that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \{x_i \in \{x_n\} : x_i = y_i\}, \\ Q &= \{x_i \in \{x_n\} : x_i \neq y_i\}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Obviously, if  $x_n \in Q$ , then  $x_{n-1}$  and  $x_{n+1}$  belong to  $P$ . Now, we conclude that there are three possibilities.

Case 1. If  $x_n, x_{n+1} \in P$ , then  $y_n = x_n, y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \delta^n \\ &\leq \delta \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + LD(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + \delta^n \\ &= \delta \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \delta^n \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

since  $y_n \in Tx_{n-1}$ .

Case 2. If  $x_n \in P, x_{n+1} \in Q$ , then  $y_n = x_n, y_{n+1} \neq x_{n+1}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ &= d(x_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \delta^n \\ &\leq \delta \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + LD(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + \delta^n \\ &= \delta \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \delta^n. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Case 3. If  $x_n \in Q, x_{n+1} \in P$ , then  $x_{n-1} \in P, y_n \neq x_n, y_{n+1} = x_{n+1}, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$ , and  $y_n \in Tx_{n-1}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(x_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + H(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \delta^n \\ &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + \delta \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + LD(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + \delta^n. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since  $\delta < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(x_n, y_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + LD(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + \delta^n \\ &= d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + LD(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) + \delta^n \\ &\leq d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + Ld(x_n, y_n) + \delta^n \\ &= d(x_{n-1}, y_n) + Ld(x_{n-1}, y_n) \\ &\quad - Ld(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \delta^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 + L) d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + \delta^n \\ &\leq (1 + L) H(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (1 + L) \delta^{n-1} + \delta^n \\ &\leq (1 + L) \delta \cdot d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (1 + L) LD(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + (1 + L) \delta^{n-1} + \delta^n \\ &= (1 + L) \delta \cdot d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + (1 + L) \delta^{n-1} + \delta^n. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Since

$$h = (1 + L) \delta < 1, \tag{19}$$

then

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < hd(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + h\delta^{n-2} + \delta^n. \tag{20}$$

Thus, combining Cases 1, 2, and 3, it follows that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} \alpha \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \alpha^n \\ \alpha d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + \alpha^{n-1} + \alpha^n, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

where

$$\alpha = \max\{\delta, h\} = h. \tag{22}$$

Following [2], by induction it follows that for  $n > 1$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq h^{(n-1)/2} \omega + h^{n/2} n, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\omega = \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\}. \tag{24}$$

Now, for  $n > m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}) \\ &\quad + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq (h^{(n-1)/2} + h^{(n-2)/2} + \dots + h^{(m-1)/2}) \omega \\ &\quad + \alpha^{n/2} n + \alpha^{(n-1)/2} (n-1) + \dots + \alpha^{m/2} m. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

This implies that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete and  $K$  is closed, it follows that there exists  $z \in K$  such that

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n. \tag{26}$$

By construction of  $\{x_n\}$ , there is a subsequence  $\{x_q\}$  such that

$$y_q = x_q \in Tx_{q-1}. \tag{27}$$

We will prove that  $z \in Tz$ . In fact, by (i),  $x_q \in Tx_{q-1}$ . Since  $x_q \rightarrow z$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$D(z, Tx_{q-1}) \rightarrow 0, \tag{28}$$

as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that

$$D(z, Tz) \leq d(z, x_q) + d(x_q, Tz) \tag{29}$$

$$\leq d(z, x_q) + H(Tx_{q-1}, Tz) \tag{30}$$

$$\leq d(z, x_q) + \delta d(x_{q-1}, z) + LD(z, Tx_{q-1}), \tag{31}$$

which on letting  $q \rightarrow \infty$  implies that  $D(z, Tz) = 0$ ; it, then, follows that  $z \in Tz$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 9 we obtain as a particular case, a fixed point theorem for multivalued nonself-contractions due to Assad and Kirk [2] that appears to be the first fixed point result for nonself-mappings in the literature.

**Corollary 10** (see [2]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete convex metric space and  $K$  a nonempty closed subset of  $X$ . Suppose that  $T : K \rightarrow CB(X)$  is a multivalued contraction; that is,*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \delta d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in K, \tag{32}$$

with  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . If  $T$  satisfies Rothe's type condition, that is,  $x \in \partial K \Rightarrow Tx \subset K$ , then there exists  $z \in K$  such that  $z \in Tz$ ; that is,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K$ .

*Example 11.* Let  $X$  be the set of real numbers with the usual norm,  $K = [0, 1]$  the unit interval, and  $T : K \rightarrow CB(X)$  be given by  $Tx = \{(1/9)x\}$ , for  $x \in [0, 1/2)$ ,  $T(1/2) = \{-1\}$ , and  $Tx = [17/18, (1/9)x + 8/9]$ , for  $x \in (1/2, 1]$ .

In order to show that  $T$  is a multivalued almost contraction, we have to discuss 8 possible cases.

*Case 1.* Consider  $(x, y) \in \Omega_1 = [0, 1/2) \times (1/2, 1]$ . Then condition (8) reduces to

$$\left| \frac{1}{9}x - \frac{1}{9}y - \frac{8}{9} \right| \leq \delta |x - y| + L \left| y - \frac{1}{9}x \right|, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega_1. \tag{33}$$

Since, for  $(x, y) \in \Omega_1$ , one has  $|(1/9)x - (1/9)y - 8/9| \leq 1$  and  $|y - (1/9)x| > 4/9$ , in order to have the previous inequality satisfied, it suffices to take  $L \geq 9/4$  and  $0 < \delta < 4/13$  arbitrarily.

*Case 2.* Consider  $(x, y) \in \Omega_2 = (1/2, 1] \times [0, 1/2)$ . Then condition (8) reduces to

$$\left| \frac{1}{9}x + \frac{8}{9} - \frac{1}{9}y \right| \leq \delta |x - y| + L \left| y - \frac{1}{9}x - \frac{8}{9} \right|, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega_2. \tag{34}$$

Since, for  $(x, y) \in \Omega_2$ , one has  $|(1/9)x + 8/9 - (1/9)y| \leq 1$  and  $|y - (1/9)x - 8/9| > 4/9$ , in order to have the previous inequality satisfied, it suffices to take  $L \geq 9/4$  and  $0 < \delta < 4/13$  arbitrarily.

*Case 3.* Take  $(x, y) \in \Omega_3 = [0, 1/2)^2$ . In this case we have

$$H(Tx, Ty) = d\left(\frac{1}{9}x, \frac{1}{9}y\right) = \left| \frac{1}{9}x - \frac{1}{9}y \right|, \tag{35}$$

and so condition (8) is satisfied with  $\delta = 1/9$  and  $L \geq 0$  arbitrarily.

*Case 4.* Consider  $(x, y) \in \Omega_4 = (1/2, 1]^2$ . In this case we have

$$H(Tx, Ty) = H\left(\left[\frac{1}{9}x + \frac{8}{9}, 1\right], \left[\frac{1}{9}y + \frac{8}{9}, 1\right]\right) = \left| \frac{1}{9}x - \frac{1}{9}y \right|, \tag{36}$$

and so condition (8) is satisfied with  $\delta = 1/9$  and  $L \geq 0$  arbitrarily.

*Case 5.* Take  $(x, y) \in \Omega_5 = \{1/2\} \times [0, 1/2)$ . Then condition (8) reduces to

$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{9}y \right| \leq \delta \left| \frac{1}{2} - y \right| + L |y + 1|, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega_5. \tag{37}$$

Since for  $(x, y) \in \Omega_5$ , one has  $|1 + (1/9)y| < 19/18$  and  $|1 + y| \geq 1$ , in order to have the previous inequality satisfied, it suffices to take  $L \geq 19/18$  and  $0 < \delta < 18/37$  arbitrarily.

*Case 6.* Consider  $(x, y) \in \Omega_6 = [0, 1/2) \times \{1/2\}$ . Then condition (8) reduces to

$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{9}x \right| \leq \delta \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| + L \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{9}x \right|, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega_6. \tag{38}$$

Since, for  $(x, y) \in \Omega_6$ , one has  $|1 + (1/9)x| \leq 19/18$  and  $|1/2 - (1/9)x| \geq 4/9$ , in order to have the previous inequality satisfied, it suffices to take  $L \geq 19/8$  and  $0 < \delta < 8/27$  arbitrarily.

*Case 7.* Take  $(x, y) \in \Omega_7 = \{1/2\} \times (1/2, 1]$ . Then condition (8) reduces to

$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{9}y + \frac{8}{9} \right| \leq \delta \left| \frac{1}{2} - y \right| + L |y + 1|, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega_7. \tag{39}$$

Since, for  $(x, y) \in \Omega_7$ , one has  $|1 + (1/9)y + 8/9| \leq 2$  and  $|y + 1| \geq 3/2$ , in order to have the previous inequality satisfied, it suffices to take  $L \geq 4/3$  and  $0 < \delta < 3/7$ .

*Case 8.* Consider  $(x, y) \in \Omega_8 = (1/2, 1] \times \{1/2\}$ . Then condition (8) reduces to

$$\left| 1 + \frac{1}{9}x + \frac{8}{9} \right| \leq \delta \left| x - \frac{1}{2} \right| + L \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{9}x - \frac{8}{9} \right|, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega_8. \tag{40}$$

Since, for  $(x, y) \in \Omega_8$ , one has  $|1 + (1/9)x + 8/9| \leq 2$  and  $|1/2 - (1/9)x - 8/9| > 4/9$ , in order to have the previous inequality satisfied, it suffices to take  $L \geq 9/2$  and  $0 < \delta < 2/11$  arbitrarily.

Now, by summarizing all cases, we conclude that condition (8) is satisfied with  $\delta = 1/9$  and  $L = 9/2$ . Note that the additional condition  $\delta(1 + L) < 1$  is also satisfied.

Hence,  $T$  is a multivalued almost contraction that satisfies all assumptions in Theorem 9, and  $T$  has two fixed points; that is,  $\text{Fix}(T) = \{0, 1\}$ .

Note that Corollary 10 cannot be applied to  $T$  in Example 11. Indeed, if we take  $x = 1$  and  $y = 1/2$  in (32), then one obtains

$$H\left(T1, T\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq \delta \left|1 - \frac{1}{2}\right|. \quad (41)$$

That is,  $|1 + 1| \leq \delta|1/2|$ , which leads to the contradiction  $4 \leq \delta < 1$ .

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for the very useful suggestions and remarks that contributed to the improvement of the paper and especially for drawing attention to [21]. The second author's research was partially supported by the Grant PN-II-RU-TE-2011-3-239 of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research. The research of the first and third authors was partially supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

## References

- [1] S. B. Nadler Jr., "Multi-valued contraction mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, pp. 475–488, 1969.
- [2] N. A. Assad and W. A. Kirk, "Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43, pp. 553–562, 1972.
- [3] L. B. Ćirić, "A remark on Rhoades' fixed point theorem for non-self mappings," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 397–400, 1993.
- [4] N. A. Assad, "On some nonself nonlinear contractions," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 17–26, 1988.
- [5] N. A. Assad, "On some nonself mappings in Banach spaces," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 501–515, 1988.
- [6] N. A. Assad, "A fixed point theorem in Banach space," *Institut Mathématique. Nouvelle Série*, vol. 47, no. 61, pp. 137–140, 1990.
- [7] N. A. Assad, "A fixed point theorem for some non-self-mappings," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 387–393, 1990.
- [8] M. A. Alghamdi, V. Berinde, and N. Shahzad, "Fixed points of non-self almost contractions," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, 2014, In press.
- [9] M. Berinde and V. Berinde, "On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 326, no. 2, pp. 772–782, 2007.
- [10] V. Berinde, "Stability of Picard iteration for contractive mappings satisfying an implicit relation," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 13–23, 2011.
- [11] V. Berinde, "On the approximation of fixed points of weak contractive mappings," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 7–22, 2003.
- [12] V. Berinde, "Approximating fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration," *Nonlinear Analysis Forum*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 43–53, 2004.
- [13] V. Berinde, *Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points*, vol. 1912 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2nd edition, 2007.
- [14] V. Berinde and M. Pacurar, "Fixed point theorems for nonself single-valued almost contractions," *Fixed Point Theory*. In press.
- [15] F. Bojor, "Fixed points of Bianchini mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 207–214, 2012.
- [16] M. Borcut, "Tripled fixed point theorems for monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 215–222, 2012.
- [17] J. Caristi, "Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 215, pp. 241–251, 1976.
- [18] Lj. B. Ćirić, J. S. Ume, M. S. Khan, and H. K. Pathak, "On some nonself mappings," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 251, pp. 28–33, 2003.
- [19] Y. Enjouji, M. Nakanishi, and T. Suzuki, "A generalization of Kannan's fixed point theorem," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 192872, 10 pages, 2009.
- [20] R. H. Haghi, Sh. Rezapour, and N. Shahzad, "On fixed points of quasi-contraction type multifunctions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 843–846, 2012.
- [21] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh, and S. Sessa, "Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 1984.
- [22] M. Kikkawa and T. Suzuki, "Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2008, Article ID 649749, 8 pages, 2008.
- [23] T. A. Lazăr, A. Petrușel, and N. Shahzad, "Fixed points for non-self operators and domain invariance theorems," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 117–125, 2009.
- [24] M. Nakanishi and T. Suzuki, "An observation on Kannan mappings," *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 170–178, 2010.
- [25] M. Păcurar, "Common fixed points for almost Presić type operators," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 117–126, 2012.
- [26] H. K. Pathak and N. Shahzad, "Fixed point results for set-valued contractions by altering distances in complete metric spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 2634–2641, 2009.
- [27] H. K. Pathak and N. Shahzad, "Fixed points for generalized contractions and applications to control theory," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 2181–2193, 2008.
- [28] S. Reich, "Kannan's fixed point theorem," *Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana*, vol. 4, pp. 1–11, 1971.
- [29] B. E. Rhoades, "A fixed point theorem for some non-self-mappings," *Mathematica Japonica*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 457–459, 1978/79.
- [30] I. A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 2001.
- [31] I. A. Rus, "Properties of the solutions of those equations for which the Krasnoselskii iteration converges," *Carpathian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 329–336, 2012.
- [32] N. Shioji, T. Suzuki, and W. Takahashi, "Contractive mappings, Kannan mappings and metric completeness," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 126, no. 10, pp. 3117–3124, 1998.
- [33] K. Włodarczyk and R. Plebaniak, "Kannan-type contractions and fixed points in uniform spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, article 90, 2011.
- [34] K. Włodarczyk and R. Plebaniak, "Generalized uniform spaces, uniformly locally contractive set-valued dynamic systems and

- fixed points,” *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2012, article 104, 2012.
- [35] K. Włodarczyk and R. Plebaniak, “Leader type contractions, periodic and fixed points and new completeness in quasi-gauge spaces with generalized quasi-pseudodistances,” *Topology and its Applications*, vol. 159, no. 16, pp. 3504–3512, 2012.
- [36] K. Włodarczyk and R. Plebaniak, “Contractivity of Leader type and fixed points in uniform spaces with generalized pseudodistances,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 387, no. 2, pp. 533–541, 2012.
- [37] K. Włodarczyk and R. Plebaniak, “Contractions of Banach, Tarafdar, Meir- Keller, Ćirić-Jachymski-Matkowski and Suzuki types and fixed points in uniform spaces with generalized pseudodistances,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 404, no. 2, pp. 338–350, 2013.
- [38] F. Khojasteh and V. Rakočević, “Some new common fixed point results for generalized contractive multi-valued non-self-mappings,” *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 287–293, 2012.
- [39] B. E. Rhoades, “A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings,” *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 226, pp. 257–290, 1977.
- [40] R. Kannan, “Some results on fixed points,” *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 60, pp. 71–76, 1968.
- [41] S. K. Chatterjea, “Fixed-point theorems,” *Doklady Bolgarskoj Akademii Nauk. Comptes Rendus de l’Académie Bulgare des Sciences*, vol. 25, pp. 727–730, 1972.
- [42] T. Zamfirescu, “Fix point theorems in metric spaces,” *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 23, pp. 292–298, 1972.
- [43] M. Turinici, “Weakly contractive maps in altering metric spaces,” <http://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4013>.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

