

UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS

Research Seminars

Seminar on Fixed Point Theory

Preprint nr.3,1990,pp.13-20.

THE STABILITY OF FIXED POINTS FOR A CLASS

OF φ -CONTRACTIONS

by

Vasile BERINDE

In the paper [1] has been studied the comparison functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ which fulfil the following condition

(c) There exist the numbers k_0 and α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, and a convergent series of nonnegative terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, such that

$$\varphi^{k+1}(r) \leq \alpha [\varphi^k(r) + a_k], \text{ for each } k \geq k_0, (\forall) r \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

The aim of the present paper is to show the relationship between the strict comparison functions and the (c)-comparison functions and to prove some results, established for the strict φ -contractions in [4], which remains valid for the φ -contractions with φ

(c) - comparison function.

We refer to [4] for the definition and basic properties of comparison functions.

DEFINITION 1 ([4]). A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called comparison function if satisfies the following conditions

(i) φ is monotone increasing ;

(ii) $(\varphi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, for all $t > 0$.

A comparison function φ is called strict comparison function if, in addition, φ satisfies the conditions

(iii) φ is continuous ;

(iv) $t - \varphi(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.

A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called (c) - comparison function if φ is monotone increasing and satisfies the condition (c).

The following lemma is given in [1]

LEMMA 1.

If $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a (c) - comparison function then φ is a comparison function.

REMARK 1.

The condition (c) is, in fact, a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of the series of decreasing terms

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi^k(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (1)$$

This result is proved in [1] using a generalization of the ratio (or D'Alembert's) test for the series of positive terms. For convenience we denote by F, F_S, F_C and F_{CS} , respectively, the set of all comparison functions, of all strict comparison functions, of all (c) - comparison functions and of all subadditive (c) - comparison functions.

EXAMPLE 1.

If $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{t+1}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have $\varphi \in F$ and $\varphi \in F_S$ (see [3], example 3.1.2.) but $\varphi \notin F_C$, because the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi^k(1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{diverges.}$$

EXAMPLE 2.

For the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = 0$ if $t \in [0, 1)$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$, if $t \geq 1$, we have $\varphi \in F_C$, and $\varphi \notin F_S$, because φ is not continuous.

EXAMPLE 3.

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = at$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $0 < a < 1$, be a function. Then $\varphi \in F_S$ and $\varphi \in F_C$.

From this considerations we obtain

LEMMA 2.

- 1) $F_B \subset F$ and $F_C \subset F$;
- ii) $F_B \cap F_C \neq \emptyset$;
- iii) $F_B \setminus F_C \neq \emptyset$ and $F_C \setminus F_B \neq \emptyset$.

DEFINITION 3.

A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called subadditive if

$$\varphi(t_1+t_2) \leq \varphi(t_1) + \varphi(t_2), \quad (\forall) t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

EXAMPLE 4.

For the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{3}{10}t$, if $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$, if $t > 1$, we have $\varphi \in F_B$ and $\varphi \notin F_{CB}$, because

$$\frac{1}{2} = \varphi(1) > 2\varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2}{5}.$$

EXAMPLE 5.

For the function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = -\frac{2}{5}t^2 + \frac{9}{10}t$, if $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi(t) = \frac{2}{3}$, if $t \geq 1$, we have $\varphi \in F_{CB}$ and $\varphi \notin F_B$.

Indeed, from the inequality

$$\varphi^k(t) < \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^k t, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t \in [0, 1)$$

it results that the series (2) converges uniformly, hence, it is pointwise convergent, which implies that $\varphi \in F_C$ and $\varphi \in F_{CB}$, but $\varphi \notin F_B$ because φ is not continuous.

REMARK 4.

The comparison function from example 1 is subadditive, $\varphi \in F_B$ but $\varphi \notin F_{CB}$, because $\varphi \notin F_C$.

EXAMPLE 6. ([3]), Example 3.1.3.)

Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function given by $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$, for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$, if $t > 1$. Then

- 1) $\varphi \in F$ and $\varphi \in F_C$ but $\varphi \notin F_{CB}$ (φ is not subadditive);
- 2) $\varphi \notin F_B$, because $t - \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}$, for $t > 1$.

From the preceding examples and remarks it follows

LEMMA 3.

$$i) F_B \cap F_{CB} \neq \emptyset ;$$

$$ii) F_B \setminus F_{CB} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } F_{CB} \setminus F_B \neq \emptyset .$$

Other results concerning some bundles between the comparison functions and the rates of convergence (a (o) - comparison function is a rate of convergence) can be found in the recent paper [2] .

To prove the main result of this paper we need some definitions and lemmas.

DEFINITION 4. ([4])

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called (strict) φ -contraction if and only if $\varphi \in F$ (respectively $\varphi \in F_B$) and

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad (\forall) x, y \in X. \quad (2)$$

LEMMA 4.

If $\varphi \in F$ then φ is continuous at 0 .

Proof.

From (i) and (ii) it results $\varphi(t) < t$, $(\forall) t > 0$ and

$$\varphi(0) = 0 \text{ (see [4], chapter III).}$$

From $\varphi(t) \geq 0$, we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) \geq 0$,

and from $\varphi(t) < t$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) \leq 0$, hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi(t) = \varphi(0)$,

as claimed. .

LEMMA 5.

If $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a (o) - comparison function, and

$$s(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi^k(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

then s is continuous at zero.

Proof. .

Let $a > 0$ be a fixed number. From the monotonicity of φ ,

we obtain

$$\varphi^k(t) \leq \varphi^k(a), \quad (\forall) t \in [0, a]$$

hence the series (1) converges uniformly on $[0, a]$.

Since φ is continuous in zero, it is obvious that s is continuous in zero and the proof is completed.

The continuous dependence of the fixed point of the strict

φ - contractions is given by

THEOREM 1. ([4]).

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ two mappings. We suppose

- (u) f is a strict φ - contraction,
- (v) $x_g^* \in F_g$,
- (w) there exist $\eta > 0$ so that

$$d(f(x), g(x)) \leq \eta, \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Then

$$d(x_g^*, x_f^*) \leq t_\eta$$

where $F_f = \{x_f^*\}$ and $t_\eta = \sup \{ t \mid t - \varphi(t) \leq \eta \}$.

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall use the following statement of [1], which is a generalization of the Theorem 3.2.1. from [3].

THEOREM 2.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $f : X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying (2) with $\varphi \in F_\varphi$.

Then

- 1) $F_f = \{x^*\}$;
- 2) The sequence of successive approximations, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$:
 $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$, $n \geq 1$, converges to x^* , for every $x_0 \in X$;
- 3) We have

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq s(d(x_0, x_1)) - S_{n-1}(d(x_0, x_1)),$$

where $s(t)$, $S_{n-1}(t)$ denote the sum, respectively, the par-

tial sum of rank $n-1$, of the series (1) ;

4) If $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{CS}$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a mapping satisfying (w),

then

$$d(y_n, x) \leq \eta + s(\eta) + s(d(x_0, x_1)) - S_{n-1}(d(x_0, x_1)) ,$$

where $y_n = g^n(x_0)$.

We are now able to prove

THEOREM 3.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ two mappings. If f is a φ -contraction with $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{CS}$ and the assumptions (v), (w) are satisfied,

then

$$d(x_f^*, x_g^*) \leq \eta + s(\eta) ,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_f = \{x_f^*\}$ and $s(\eta)$ is the sum of the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varphi^k(\eta) .$$

Proof.

We apply theorem 2, with $x_0 = x_g^*$, to obtain

$$d(x_f^*, x_g^*) \leq \eta + s(\eta) + s(r_0) - S_{n-1}(r_0) ,$$

where $r_0 = d(x_g^*, f(x_g^*))$.

Now it is enough to take $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the proof, is completed.

REMARK 5.

1) From the continuity of s we have

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} (\eta + s(\eta)) = 0 ;$$

2) If $\varphi(t) = at$, $0 < a < 1$, then (see [4], example

7.1.1.)

$$\varphi(t) = \eta + s(\eta) = \frac{\eta}{1-a} ;$$

3) If $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$, then (see [4], example 7.1.2) the

Theorem 1 give an estimation with

$$t_\eta = \frac{1}{2} (\eta + \sqrt{\eta^2 + 4}) ,$$

but theorem 3 fails, because $\varphi \notin \mathcal{F}_C$, and consequently, $\varphi \notin$

\mathcal{F}_{CS} .

4) If we take φ as in example 5, then Theorem 3 applies, but Theorem 1 not.

An analogous to Theorem 7.2.1. from [4] holds.

THEOREM 4.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f_n, f: X \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such as :

- 1) f_n is a φ -contraction with $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{CS}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- 2) $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges pointwise to f .

Then f is a φ -contractions with $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{CS}$

and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* ,

where $\mathcal{F}_{f_n} = \{x_n^*\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_f = \{x^*\}$.

Proof.

Using 1) and 2), from the inequality

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(f(x), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(y), f_n(y)) + d(f_n(y), f(y)),$$

we obtain, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, that f is a φ -contraction, with

$\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{CS}$.

Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{CS}$, from

$$d(x_n^*, x^*) = d(f_n(x_n^*), f(x^*)) \leq \varphi(d(x_n^*, x^*)) + d(f_n(x^*), f(x^*)),$$

it follows

$$d(x_n^*, x^*) \leq \varphi^{k+1}(d(x_n^*, x^*)) + \sum_{i=0}^k \varphi^i(d(f_n(x^*), f(x^*))), \quad k \geq 0.$$

which, together with (11), yields, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(x_n^*, x^*) \leq r_0 + s(r_0),$$

where $r_0 = d(f_n(x^*), f(x^*))$.

From (2) we now obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_0 = 0$ and, from lemma 5,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(r_0) = 0,$$

hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n^*, x^*) = 0$$

REMARK 6.

The theorem 7.1.2. and 7.2.3. [4] remain also valid if we replace the condition $\varphi \in F_{\beta}$ with the condition $\varphi \in F_{\alpha\beta}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BERINDE, V. - Error estimates in the approximation of the fixed points for a class of φ -contractions, Studia (to appear)
- [2] MURESAN, A.S. - Some remarks on the comparison functions Seminar on num. and stat. calculus, Preprint nr.9, 1987, pp.99-108, Univ. of Cluj-Napoca
- [3] RUS, I.A. - Principii și aplicații ale teoriei punctului fix, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979
- [4] RUS, I.A. - Generalized contractions, Preprint nr.3, 1983, p.1-130, Sem. on fixed point theory, Univ. of Cluj-Napoca.