

GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS IN QUASIMETRIC SPACES

by

Vasile BERINDE

Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions on metric, K -metric or uniform spaces, as well as for generalized contractions on σ -complete vector lattices, have been given in the papers [2]-[4], [6], [8]-[9], [10]-[11].

The aim of the present paper is to extend a result of BAHTIN, I.A. [1], given for usual contractions in quasimetric spaces, to a class of φ -contractions, with φ a comparison function.

A **quasimetric space** is a nonempty set X endowed with a **quasimetric**, i.e. a function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, satisfying the following conditions:

- d1) $d(x,y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- d2) $d(x,y) = d(y,x)$, $\forall x,y \in X$;
- d3) $d(x,z) \leq \alpha [d(x,y) + d(y,z)]$, $\forall x,y,z \in X$,

where $\alpha \geq 1$ is a given real number, see [1].

Obviously, when $\alpha = 1$ we obtain the usual notion of **metric (space)**.

Example 1.[1] The space $l_p (0 < p < 1)$,

$$l_p = \left\{ (x_n) \subset \mathbf{R} / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty \right\},$$

together the function $d: l_p \times l_p \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$,

$$d(x,y) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

where $x = (x_n), y = (y_n) \in l_p$, is a quasimetric space. Indeed, by an elementary calculation we obtain

$$d(x,z) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} [d(x,y) + d(y,z)],$$

hence $a = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$ in this case.

Example 2.[1] The space L_p ($0 < p < 1$) of all real functions $x(t), t \in [0,1]$, such that

$$\int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt < \infty,$$

becomes a quasimetric space if we take

$$d(x,y) = \left(\int_0^1 |x(t) - y(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p}, \text{ for each } x,y \in L_p.$$

The constant a is the same as in the previous example

$$a = 2^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In order to obtain our main result we need some definitions and results from [2]-[4] and [11], which we summarise here.

DEFINITION 1. ([8]) A mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called *comparison function* if

- (i) φ is monotone increasing;
- (ii) $\varphi^n(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Example 3. The mapping $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, is a comparison function.

Let (X,d) be, for instance, a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping. An usual way to obtain generalizations of the contraction mapping principle is to replace the classical condition

$$d(f(x),f(y)) \leq \alpha \cdot d(x,y), \forall x,y \in X, \quad (1)$$

by a generalized contraction condition

$$d(f(x),f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x,y)), \forall x,y \in X, \quad (2)$$

where φ is a certain comparison function, see, for example RUS,A.I. [10], [11].

Generally, such a fixed point theorem asserts, under suitable conditions, the existence or the existence and unicity of a fixed point of f but it is not able to say anything about the approximation of this fixed point.

A class of generalized contractions for which a fixed point theorem furnishes an estimation of the convergence of the sequence of successive approximations is studied in our papers [2]-[4], [8]-[9].

DEFINITION 2. ([2], [3]) A mapping $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfies:

(i) φ is monotone increasing (isotone);

(ii) There exist a convergent series of positive terms $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n$ and a real number α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, such that

$$\varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq \alpha \varphi^k(t) + v_k, \text{ for each } t \in K \text{ and } n \geq N \text{ (fixed)} \quad (3)$$

is called (c) - comparison function (φ^k stands for the k iterate of φ).

Remark. 1) Using a generalization of the ratio test [5], [7], it results that if φ is a (c)-comparison function then the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi^k(t) \quad (4)$$

converges for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, hence

$$\varphi^k(t) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

i.e. any (c)-comparison function is a comparison function.

2) If we denote by $s(t)$ the sum of the series (4), then, see [2]-[4], s is monotone increasing and continuous at zero.

Example 4. The function given in example 3 is a (c)-comparison function but, generally, a comparison function is not a (c)-comparison function, see [2]-[3].

The following theorem extends theorem 1 from [1].

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a φ -

contraction, i.e. a mapping which satisfies (2).

Then f has a unique fixed point if and only if there exists $x_0 \in X$, such that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of successive approximations,

$$x_n = f(x_{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

is bounded.

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious: if f has a unique fixed point, say x^* , then for $x_0 = x^*$, the sequence (x_n) is bounded, being constant.

The necessity. We suppose that (x_n) is bounded for a certain $x_0 \in X$. This means there exists a constant $c > 0$ and an element $y \in X$ such that

$$d(x_n, y) \leq c, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and then, for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq a [d(x_n, y) + d(y, x_m)] \leq 2ac.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) &= d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{n+p-1})) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-1})) \leq \\ &\leq \dots \leq \varphi^{n-1}(d(x_1, x_{p+1})) \leq \varphi^{n-1}(2ac), \quad n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (x_n) is fundamental, hence (x_n) is convergent, because (X, d) is a complete quasimetric space.

Let $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x^*) = 0$$

and from

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq d(f(x^*), x^*) &\leq a [d(f(x^*), f(x_n)) + d(f(x_n), x^*)] \leq \\ &\leq a [\varphi(d(x^*, x_n)) + d(x_{n+1}, x^*)], \end{aligned}$$

we deduce

$$d(f(x^*), x^*) = 0,$$

since φ is continuous at zero. Hence $x^* \in F_f$.

The unicity is an immediate consequence of the contraction condition (2). The proof is now complete.

Remark. For φ as in example 3, from theorem 1 we obtain theorem 1[1].

Now let $a \geq 1$ be a given real number and let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a comparison function for which there exists a convergent series of positive terms $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n$ and a real number α , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ such that

$$\alpha^{k+1} \varphi^{k+1}(t) \leq \alpha \cdot a^k \varphi^k(t) + v_k, \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and each } k \geq N(\text{fixed}) \quad (5)$$

Then, in view of the generalized ratio test [5], the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \varphi^k(t), \quad (6)$$

converges for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and its sum, denoted by $s_a(t)$, is monotone increasing and continuous at zero.

Obviously, when $a = 1$ (i.e. d is actually a metric on X) such a comparison function is a (c)-comparison function.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, d) be a complete quasimetric space, $f: X \rightarrow X$ a φ -contraction, with φ satisfying the condition (5).*

If $x_0 \in X$ is such that the sequence (x_n) ,

$$x_n = f(x_{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

is bounded and $F_f = \{x^\}$, then we have*

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq a \cdot s_a(d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

where $s_a(t)$ is the sum of the series (6).

Proof. From the contraction condition (2) we deduce, for $n \geq 1$,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(f(x_{n-1}), f(x_n)) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)),$$

and for $n \geq 2$,

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \varphi(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})),$$

which yields, using the monotocity of φ ,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \varphi^2(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})), \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

By induction, we then obtain

$$d(x_{n+k-1}, x_{n+k}) \leq \varphi^{k-1}(d(x_n, x_{n+1})), \text{ for each } k. \quad (8)$$

By on other hand, the axiom d3) gives, for each $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq a [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p})] \leq \\ &\leq ad(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a^2 [d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+p})] \leq \\ &\leq \dots \leq ad(x_n, x_{n+1}) + a^2 d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + a^p d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}), \end{aligned}$$

hence, from (8) we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq a \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k \varphi^k(d(x_n, x_{n+1})). \quad (9)$$

Now if we take $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (9), we obtain the desired estimation (7).

The proof is complete.

Remarks. 1) If $a = 1$, theorem 2 is just the generalized contraction principle given in [2]-[4];

2) When $\varphi(t)$ is as in example 3, the condition (5) is satisfied if $\alpha \in [0; 1[$

is such that

$$\alpha a < 1.$$

REFERENCES

1. Bahtin, I.A., *The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces* (Russian), Func.An., No. 30, 26-37, Unianowsk, Gos. Ped. Inst., 1989.
2. Berinde, V., *Error estimates in the approximation of the fixed points for a class of φ -contractions*, Studia Univ. "Babeş-Bolyai", 35(1990), fasc. 2, 86-89.
3. Berinde, V., *The stability of fixed points for a class of φ -contractions*, Univ. Cluj-Napoca, Preprint nr. 3, 1990, 13-20.

4. Berinde, V., *Abstract φ -contractions which are Picard mappings*, *Mathematica* (to appear)
5. Berinde, V., *Une généralisation de critère du D'Alembert pour les séries positives*, *Bul. Șt. Univ. Baia Mare*, vol. VII (1991), 21-26.
6. Berinde, V., *A fixed point theorem of Maia type in K -metric spaces*, *Univ. Cluj-Napoca, Univ. Cluj-Napoca*, Preprint nr. 3, 1991, 7-14.
7. Berinde, V., *A convolution type proof of the generalized ratio test*, *Bul. Șt. Univ. Baia Mare*, vol. VIII (1992), 35-40.
8. Berinde, V., *Generalized contractions in σ -complete vector lattices* (to appear).
9. Berinde, V., *Generalized contractions in uniform spaces*, *Bul. Șt. Univ. Baia Mare*, Vol. IX(1993), 45-52.
10. Rus, A.I., *Principii și aplicații ale teoriei punctului fix*, Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979.
11. Rus, A.I., *Generalized contractions*, *Univ. of Cluj-Napoca*, Preprint nr.3, 1983, 1-130.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Letters and Sciences
UNIVERSITY OF BAI A MARE
4800 Baia Mare ROMÂNIA

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.