

ERROR ESTIMATES FOR A CLASS OF
(δ, φ) - CONTRACTIONS

by

Vasile BERINDE

The purpose of this paper is to establish an estimates for a class of generalized contractions.

Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions are given in [1] - [5], [10] - [13]. It is well known that in a fixed point theorem is very important to have an error estimates, that is a method for the approximation of the fixed point.

Because the fixed point for ($\delta - \varphi$)-contractions in [12], [13] does not give an error estimation of the fixed point, we are led to consider a class of (δ, φ)-contractions, as in [5], for which such an estimation may be obtained. To this end we need some notations, definitions and lemmas from [12], [13], [5].

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping.

We denote as usually

$$F_f = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = x\},$$

$$O(x; f) = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^n(x), \dots\},$$

$$O(x, y; f) = O(x; f) \cup O(y; f),$$

$$\delta(A) = \sup \{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in A\}, A \subseteq X$$

and

$$I_k(f) = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \neq \emptyset, f(A) \subseteq A, \delta(A) < +\infty\}$$

DEFINITION 1. (BERINDE [1]). A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called (c)-comparison function if the following two conditions are satisfied

(c₁) φ is monotone increasing.

(c₂) There exist two numbers $k_0, \alpha, 0 < \alpha < 1$, and a convergent series with nonnegative terms $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$, such as

$$\varphi^{k_0}(t) \leq \alpha \varphi^k(t) + a_k, \text{ for each } t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } k \geq k_0$$

LEMMA 1 (BERINDE [1], [5]). If φ is a (c)-comparison function then

(c₃) $\varphi(t) < t$, for each $t > 0$.

(c₄) φ is continuous in 0.

(c₅) The series

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi^i(t) \tag{1}$$

converges for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

(c₆) The sum of the series (1), $s(t)$, is monotone increasing and continuous in 0.

(c₇) $(\varphi^n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Remark. A function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying (c₁) and (c₇) is called comparison function

Example 1. If $a \in (0,1)$, then $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = at$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a (c)-comparison function, hence φ is a comparison function too. But $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is a comparison function which is not a (c)-comparison function.

DEFINITION 2. (RUS [12]). Let (X,d) be a metric space.

A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a (b, φ)-contraction if there exists a comparison function φ such that:

$$\delta(f(A)) \leq \varphi(\delta(A)), \tag{2}$$

for all $A \in I_f(f)$.

Example 2. If f is a φ -contraction (see RUS [12]), i.e. a mapping which satisfies instead of (2) the following condition

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

where φ is a comparison function, then f is a (δ, φ) -contraction.

Example 3. If f is a φ -contraction with φ a 5-dimensional comparison function (see BERINDE [5]), then f is a (δ, φ) -contraction.

Example 4. Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a function defined by $f(x) = x + \frac{1}{3}$, for $x \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]$ and $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{6}$, for $x \in (\frac{1}{3}, 1]$. Then

a) $F_f = \emptyset$;

b) $I_3(f) = \left\{ [0, b] / b \geq \frac{2}{3} \right\}$;

c) For $b > \frac{2}{3}$, any set $A = [0, b]$ satisfies (2), with $\varphi(t) = \frac{2}{3}t$, but f is not a (δ, φ) -

contraction, because for $A = \left[0, \frac{2}{3}\right]$, (?) is not satisfied

DEFINITION 3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping. An element $x \in X$ is called *regular for f* if the set $O(x; f)$ is bounded. Two elements x and y of X are called *asymptotic under f* if the sequence $(d(f^n(x), f^n(y)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 2. (RUS [13]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a (δ, φ) -contraction.

If x and y are regular elements for f then x and y are asymptotic under f .

The following characterization of the (δ, φ) -contraction will be useful in the sequel.

LEMMA 3. (RUS [13]). Let (X, d) be a metric space, φ a given comparison function and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent

(i) f is a (δ, φ) -contraction;

(ii) $d(O(f(x), f(y); f)) \leq \varphi(\delta(O(x, y; f)))$, for all regular elements x and y of X ;

(iii) $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \varphi(\delta(O(x, y; f)))$, for all regular elements x and y of X .

LEMMA 4. (RUS [13]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a (δ, φ) -

contraction.

(i) If $F_f \neq \emptyset$, then $F_f = \{x^*\}$;

(ii) If $x^* \in F_f$ and x is a regular element for f , then $(f^n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* .

The main result of this paper is given by

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a (δ, φ) -contraction with φ a (c) -comparison function.

If there exists a regular element $x \in X$ for f then

a) $F_f = \{x^*\}$;

b) If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of the successive approximations with $x_0 \in X$ a regular element for f , then

$$x_n \rightarrow x^*;$$

$$c) d(x_n, x^*) \leq s(\delta(0(x_n, x_{n+1}))) \quad (3)$$

where $s(t)$ is the sum of the series (1).

Proof. From Theorem 1 [12] and example 2 it results a), b). In order to prove c), we use Lemma 3 and condition (2). We deduce

$$\delta(0(f(x), f(y); f)) \leq \varphi(\delta(0(x, y; f))). \quad (4)$$

for all regular elements $x, y \in X$.

Let x_0 be a regular element and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of successive approximations,

$$x_n = f^n(x_0), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (5)$$

Obviously, x_n is a regular element for f too, for each $n \geq 1$ and then, from (4) we deduce

$$\delta(0(f^{n+1}(x_0), f^{n+2}(x_0))) \leq \varphi(\delta(0(f^n(x_0), f^{n+1}(x_0); f))).$$

that is

$$\delta(0(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}; f)) \leq \varphi(\delta(0(x_n, x_{n+1}; f))).$$

By induction we obtain

$$\delta(0(x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}; f)) \leq \varphi^p(\delta(x_n, x_{n+1}; f)).$$

Since

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}),$$

it results

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq r + \varphi(r) + \dots + \varphi^{p-1}(r), \quad (6)$$

where

$$r = \delta(0(x_n, x_{n+1}; f)).$$

Now we take $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (6) and we obtain just the desired estimation (3).

Remarks.

1) Theorem 1 in the present paper completes Theorem 5.2.2. [12] by the estimation (3);

2) It is possible to obtain a more general result if consider two metrics d and ρ defined on X . In this case we denote by $\delta_d(A)$ and $\delta_\rho(A)$ the diameter of the set A with respect to the metric d and ρ respectively.

The following theorem corrects the statement of Theorem 1 in MUREŞAN [10] and completes it by the estimation (7).

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a nonempty set endowed with two metrics d and ρ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a mapping satisfying the following conditions*

1) *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq c\rho(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

2) *(X, d) is a complete metric space;*

3) *$f: (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is continuous;*

4) *$f: (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$ is a (δ, φ) -contraction with φ a (c) -comparison function;*

5) *There exists a regular element $x \in X$ for $f: (X, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \rho)$*

Then:

- (i) $F_f = \{x^*\}$,
 (ii) The sequence $(f^n(x_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x^* for any regular element $x_0 \in X$,
 (iii) For $x_0 \in X$ a regular element for f and $x_p = f^p(x_0)$, we have

$$d(x_p, x^*) \leq c s(\delta_p(0(x_p, x_{p-1}), f)) \quad (7)$$

Proof. In a similar manner to theorem 1 we obtain, using (1) and (4),

$$d(x_p, x_{p-p}) \leq c[\varphi^{p-1}(r) + \varphi^p(r) + \dots + \varphi^{p-p-2}(r)], \quad (8)$$

where

$$r = \delta_p(0(x_0, x_1), f)$$

Since φ is a (c)-comparison function, it results (x_p) is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space (X, d) . Hence (x_p) is convergent. Let x^* be its limit. From condition (3) we obtain $x^* \in F_f$ and then $F_f = \{x^*\}$, in view of Lemma 4. In order to obtain (7) it suffices to take $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (8). The proof is now complete.

Remark. If φ is not a (c)-comparison function then, from (8) does not result that (x_p) is a Cauchy sequence. For example, if $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is given by $\varphi(t) = \frac{t}{1-t}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\varphi^{p-1}(1) + \varphi^p(1) + \dots + \varphi^{p-p-2}(1) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

REFERENCES

- 1 Berinde, V., *Error estimates in the approximation of the fixed point for a class of φ -contractions*. Studia Univ. Cluj-Napoca, XXXV(1990), fasc.2, 86-89
- 2 Berinde, V., *The stability of fixed points for a class of φ -contractions*. Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 1990, 3, 13-20.
- 3 Berinde, V., *A fixed point theorem of Maia type in K-metric spaces*. Seminar on Fixed

4. Berinde, V., *Abstract φ -contractions which are Picard mappings*, *Mathematica*, Tome 34(57), No. 2, 1992, 107-111.
5. Berinde, V., *Generalized contractions and applications* (Romanian), Ph.D. Thesis. Univ. Cluj-Napoca, 1993.
6. Ćirić, L.B., *A generalization of Banach's contraction principle*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 45(1974), 267-273.
7. Ćirić, L.B., *A note on fixed point mappings with contracting orbital diameters*, *Publ. l'Institut Math.*, 27(1980), 31-32.
8. Fuchssteiner, B., *Iterations and fix points*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 68(1977), 73-80.
9. Hegedus, M., Kasahara, S., *A contraction principle in metric spaces*, *Math. Sem. Notes*, 7(1979), 597-603.
10. Mureşan, A., *Some fixed point theorems of Maia type*, *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory*, 1988, 3, 35-42.
11. Rus, I.A., *Some metrical fixed point theorems*, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica*, 1, (1979), 73-77.
12. Rus, I.A., *Generalized contractions*, *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory*, 1983, 3, 1-130.
13. Rus, I.A., *Generalized φ -contractions*, *Mathematica*, Tome 24(47), No. 1-2, 1982, 175-178.