GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS FOR SOLVING RIGHT FOCAL POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

VASILE BERINDE

Abstract. The main goal of the present paper is to use the generalized contraction mapping principle [4] instead of the classical contraction mapping principle, in order to obtain a more general existence and uniqueness theorem for the nth order ordinary differential equation with deviating arguments (1.1) - (1.3).

1. Introduction

Second order as well as higher order boundary value problems with deviating arguments arise naturally in several engineering applications. In spite of their practical importance, only a few papers are devoted to boundary value problems (see [2] and references therein), even if initial value problems for higher order differential equations with deviating arguments have been studied intensively. Consequently, let us consider, as in [2] (all concepts and notations related to ODE are taken from this paper), the nth order ordinary differential equation with deviating arguments

$$x^{(n)}(t) = f(t, x \circ w(t)), t \in [a, b], \tag{1.1}$$

where $x \circ w(t)$ stands for $(x(w_{0,1}(t)), ..., x(w_{0,p(0)}(t)), ..., x^{(q)}(w_{q,p(q)}(t))),$ $0 \le q \le n-1$ (but fixed), and $p(i), 0 \le i \le q$, are positive integers.

The function $f(t, \langle x \rangle)$ is assumed to be continuous on $[a, b] \times \mathbf{R}^N$, where $\langle x \rangle$ represents $(x_{0,1}, ..., x_{0,p(0)}, ..., x_{q,p(q)})$ and $N = \sum_{i=0}^q p(i)$. The functions

$$w_{i,j}, 1 \le j \le p(i), 0 \le i \le q,$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 34K10.

Key words and phrases. generalized contraction, deviating argument, boundary value.

are continuous on [a, b] and $w_{i,j}(t) \leq b$ for all $t \in [a, b]$;

Also, they assume the value a at most a finite number of times as t ranges over [a, b].

POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

Let

$$\alpha = \min\{a, \inf_{a < t < b} w_{i,j}(t), \qquad 1 \le j \le p(i), \qquad 0 \le i \le q\}.$$

If $\alpha < a$, we assume that a function $\varphi \in C^{(q)}[\alpha, a]$ is given.

Let k be a fixed integer such that $1 \le k \le n-1$ and let $r = \min\{q, k-1\}$. We seek a function

$$x \in \mathcal{B} = C^{(r)}[\alpha, b] \cap C^{(q)}[\alpha, a] \cap C^{(q)}[a, b],$$

having at least a piecewise continuous n^{th} derivative on [a, b], and such that:

if

oblems with deviat

$$\alpha < a \text{ and } q \ge k - 1, then \quad x^{(i)}(t) = \varphi^{(i)}(t), 0 \le i \le q, \quad t \in [\alpha, a];$$
 (1.2)

if $\alpha < a$ and q < k-1, then

$$x^{(i)}(t) = \varphi^{(i)}(t), \quad 0 \leq i \leq q, \quad t \in [\alpha, a];$$
 $x^{(i)}(a) = A_i, \quad q+1 \leq i \leq k-1;$

ing arguments arise naturally in several engine

if $\alpha = a$, then

and mod makes are 4000 at be
$$x^{(i)}(a) \stackrel{.}{=} A_{i,m}$$
 $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ the) [2] are as rebience as

paper), the net order ordinary differential equation with deviating arguments bas

$$x^{(i)}(b) = B_i, \quad k \le i \le n - 1;$$
 (1.3)

equations with deviating arguments have been studied in

Also, x is a solution of (1.1) on [a, b].

2. Equivalent integral equation $0 \ge 1 \ge 0$ (i) $n \ge n \ge 1$ (bear (bear and) $1 - n \ge n \ge 0$

To obtain an existence and uniqueness theorem for the boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) we shall convert it into its equivalent integral equation representation. To this end we need the Green's function expression, g(t,s), for the boundary value problem

$$x^{(n)} = 0$$
, $x^{(i)}(a) = 0$, $0 \le i \le k - 1$, $x^{(i)}(b) = 0$, $k \le i \le n - 1$. (2.1)

From Lemma 2.1 [2], we have that g(t,s) is given by

$$g(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {n-1 \choose i} (t-a)^i (a-s)^{n-i-1}, & if \quad s \leq t, \\ -\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose i} (t-a)^i (a-s)^{n-i-1}, & if \quad s \geq t. \end{cases}$$

It is known [2] that

$$(-1)^{n-k}g^{(i)}(t,s) \ge 0, \quad 0 \le i \le k,$$
 $(t,s) \in [a,b] \times [a,b];$

If $\alpha = a$, then $\psi(t) = P_{n-1}(t)$, $t \in [\alpha, a]$, where

 $\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & t \in [\alpha, \alpha], \\ P_{n-1}(t), & t \in [\alpha, b]. \end{cases}$

$$(-1)^{n-i}g^{(i)}(t,s) \ge 0, \quad k+1 \le i \le n-1, \quad (t,s) \in [a,b] \times [a,b],$$

$$\sup_{a \le t \le b} \int_{a}^{b} |g^{(i)}(t,s)| ds \le C_{n,i}(b-a)^{n-i}, \qquad 0 \le i \le n-1,$$

where $g^{(i)}(t,s) = \partial^i g(t,s)/\partial t^i$ and

$$C_{n,i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mid \sum_{j=0}^{k-i-1} {n-1 \choose j} (-1)^{n-j-1} \mid, & 0 \le i \le k-1, \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)!}, & k \le i \le n-1. \end{cases}$$

The boundary value problem (1.1)-(1.3) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$x(t) = \psi(t) + \theta(t) \int_{a}^{b} g(t,s) f(s,x \circ w(s)) ds, \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [\alpha, a] \\ 1, & otherwise, \end{cases}$$

and the function ψ is defined as follows.

If $\alpha < a$ and $q \ge k - 1$, then a = a = 0 is T to some heat supram with it is a = a = 0.

$$\geq k-1, ext{ then}$$

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases}
\varphi(t), & t \in [\alpha, a], \\
P_{n-1}(t), & t \in [a, b],
\end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_i = \varphi^{(i)}(a)$, $0 \le i \le k-1$, $\beta_i = B_i$, $k \le i \le n-1$, and $p_{n-1}(t)$ is the unique polynomial (see Lemma 2.2,[2]) of degree n-1 satisfying

$$P_{n-1}^{(i)}(a) = \alpha_i, \quad 0 \le i \le k-1 \text{ and } P_{n-1}^{(i)}(b) = \beta_i, k \le i \le n-1.$$

If $\alpha < a$ and q < k - 1, then

$$\psi(t) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} arphi(t), & t \in [lpha,a], \ P_{n-1}(t), & t \in [a,b], \end{array}
ight.$$

where $\alpha_i = \varphi^{(i)}(a), 0 \le i \le q$, $\alpha_i = A_i$, $q+1 \le i \le k-1$, and $\beta_i = B_i$, $k \le i \le n-1$. If $\alpha = a$, then $\psi(t) = P_{n-1}(t)$, $t \in [\alpha, a]$, where

$$\alpha_i = A_i, 0 \le i \le k-1 \text{ and } \beta_i = B_i, k \le i \le n-1.$$

It is easy to see that $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$, and for all $t \in [a, b]$, with

$$w_{i,j}(t) = a, \ \psi^{(i)}(w_{i,j}(t)) = P_{n-1}^{(i)}(a+0).$$

3. Generalized contraction mapping principle and main result

We shall use a local variant of the generalized contraction mapping principle [4, Theorem 1.5.1.] to state our main result.

Lemma 3.1. (Generalized contraction mapping principle [4]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $\mu > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\overline{S}(u_0, \mu) = \{u \in X : d(u, u_0) \leq \mu\}$. Further, let T be an operator which maps $\overline{S}(u_0, \mu)$ into X, and

- (i) for all $u, v \in \overline{S}(u_0, \mu), d(Tu, Tv) \leq \phi(d(u, v))$, where ϕ is a (c)-comparison function;
- (ii) $\mu_0 = d(Tu_0, u_0) \le \mu \phi(\mu)$.

 Then

Then

- (1) T has a fixed point u^* in $\overline{S}(u_0, \mu_0)$;
- (2) u^* is the unique fixed point of T in $\overline{S}(u_0, \mu_0)$;
- (3) the sequence $\{u_m\}$, where $u_{m+1} = Tu_m, m = 0, 1, ...,$ converges to u^* with

$$d(u^*, u_m) \leq s(\phi^m(d(u_0, u_1)))$$

and

$$d(u^*, u_m) \leq s(d(u_m, u_{m+1}));$$

where s(t) is the sum of the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi^k(t)$.

(4) for any $u \in \overline{S}(u_0, \mu_0)$, $u^* = \lim_{m \to \infty} T^m u$.

Remark. For the notion of (c)-comparison function we refer to [4]. A typical comparison function is

$$\phi(t) = \lambda t, \qquad 0 \le \lambda < 1, \qquad t \in [0, \infty). \tag{3.1}$$

For ϕ given by (3.1), from Lemma 3.1 we obtain Lemma 2.3 [2].

Let \overline{A}_i , $0 \le i \le k-1$ and \overline{B}_i , $k \le i \le n-1$, be given fixed numbers and $\psi_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ the function defined in [2], Section 4. Following [2], a function $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{B}$ is called an approximate solution of (2.2) if there exist nonnegative constants ϵ and δ such that wherever $\psi^{(i)}(t)$, $\psi_2^{(i)}(t)$ and $\overline{x}^{(i)}(t)$ are defined,

$$\sup_{\alpha \le t \le b} |\psi_2^{(i)}(t) - \psi^{(i)}(t)| \le \epsilon C_{n,i} (b-a)^{n-i}, \quad 0 \le i \le q,$$
 (3.2)

$$\sup_{\alpha \le t \le b} |\overline{x}^{(i)}(t) - \psi_2^{(i)}(t) - \theta(t) \int_a^b g^{(i)}(s, t) f(s, \overline{x} \circ w(s)) ds | \le \delta C_{n,i} (b - a)^{n-i}, 0 \le i \le q.$$

$$(3.3)$$

If we consider the following norm on the space B:

$$||x|| = \max_{0 \le i \le q} \left\{ \left(\frac{C_{n,o}(b-a)^i}{C_{n,i}} \right) \sup_{\alpha \le t \le b} |x^{(i)}(t)| \text{ wherever } x^{(i)}(t) \text{ exists} \right\}$$
 and apply Lemma 3.1 we can prove in a standard way.

Theorem 3.1.. Suppose that (2.2) has an approximate solution $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{B}$ and

(i) f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\begin{split} |\,f(t,< x>) - f(t,< y>)\,\,| &\leq \sum\limits_{i=0}^{q} \quad \sum\limits_{j=1}^{p(i)} L_{i,j} |\,\,x_{i,j} - y_{i,j}|, \\ for \,\,all \,\,(t,< x>), \,(t,< y>) \in [a,b] \times D_1, \,\,where \end{split}$$

$$D_1 = \left\{ \langle x \rangle : \mid x_{i,j} - x^{(i)}(w_{i,j}(t)) \mid \leq \mu \cdot \frac{C_{n,i}}{C_{n,0}(b-a)^i}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p(i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq q \right\};$$

(ii) ϕ is a (c)-comparison function and

$$(\epsilon + \delta)C_{n,0}(b - a)^n \le \mu - \phi(\mu). \tag{3.4}$$

where s(t) is the sum of the series'

Then

(1.8)

- (1) There exists a solution $x^*(t)$ of (1.1)-(1.3) in $\overline{S}(\overline{x}, \mu_0)$;
- $x^*(t)$ is the unique solution of (1.1)-(1.3) in $\overline{S}(\overline{x}, \mu_0)$;
- (3) The sequence $\{x_m(t)\}\$ of successive approximations, defined by

$$x_{m+1}(t)=\psi(t)+\theta(t)\int\limits_a^bg(t,s)f(s,x_m\circ w(s))ds,\quad m=0,1,...$$
 and $x_0(t)=\overline{x}(t),$ converges to $x^*(t)$ with

 $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$ the function defined in [2], Section 4. Following [2], a function $\overline{x} \in \mathcal{B}$ is called

as approximate solution of (2.2) to the end of some state constants
$$c$$
 and d such that $\|x^* - x_m\| \le s(\phi^m(\|u_0^{-1}(u_1\|)), (1)^{(1)})$ wherever

$$||x^* - x_m|| \le s(||u_m - u_{m+1}||);$$

(4) for any $x_0(t) = x(t)$, where $x \in \overline{S}(\overline{x}, \mu_0)$, the iterative process converges to $x^*(t)$. $\mathbb{E}^{(t)}(t) = \psi_{i}^{(t)}(t) - \theta(t) \int g^{(t)}(s,t) f(s,\overline{x} \circ w(s)) ds \leq \delta C_{n,t}(t-a)^{n-t}, 0 \leq t \leq q$

Remarks

- 1) For $\phi(t)$ as given by (3.1), from Theorem 3.1 we obtain Theorem 4.1 in [2];
- 2) If, for instance, we take the comparison function $\phi: \mathbf{R}_+ \to \mathbf{R}_+$, given by:

$$\phi(t)=\left\{egin{array}{ll} rac{1}{2}t, & 0\leq t\leq 1 \\ t-rac{1}{3}, & t>1, \end{array}
ight.$$

then an operator T, which satisfies all assumptions in Theorem 3.1, will be generally not a contractive operator (with respect to the norm, see [4]), that is, an operator satisfying for all $u, v \in \overline{S}(\overline{x}, \mu_0)$, the classical contraction condition

$$||Tu-Tv|| \leq \lambda ||u-v||, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1,$$

GENERALIZED CONTRACTIONS

but T is a generalized contractive operator. Consequently, Theorem 4.1 from [2] does not apply, while Theorem 3.1 apply to this class of higher order differential equation with deviating argument.

References

- Agarwal, R.P., Boundary Value Problems for Higher Order Differential Equations, Word Scientific, Singapore-Philadelphia, 1986
- [2] Agarwal, R.P., Existence uniqueness and iterative methods for right focal point boundary value problems for differential equations with deviating arguments, Ann. Polon. Math. 52(1991), 211-230
- Berinde, V., Error estimates in the approximation of fixed points for a class of φ-contractions, Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai" Cluj-Napoca, 35(1990), 2, 86-89
- contractions, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Cluj-Napoca, 35(1990), 2, 86-89
 [4] Berinde, V., Abstract φ-contractions which are Picard mappings, Mathematica (Cluj), 34(57), No 2, 1992, 107-111
- [5] Berinde, V., Generalized contractions and applications, Editura CUB PRESS 22, Baia Mare, 1997 (in Romanian)

E-mail address: vberinde univer.ubm.ro
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences,
North University of Baia Mare, Victoriei 76, 4800 Baia Mare, Romania